



## RESTORE ACT CENTER OF EXCELLENCE FOR LOUISIANA EXPENDITURE SUMMARY

*Due within 30 days of the close of the award*

**CFDA/Fed Grant Number:** 21.015/RCEGR260003-01-00

**CEA Number:** 2000249131

**Subaward Agreement Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Award Period (mm-dd-yyyy to mm-dd-yyyy):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Grantee Lead Institution:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Grantee Principal Investigator and Contact Information:**

First name: \_\_\_\_\_ Last name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_ Affiliation: \_\_\_\_\_

Mailing address: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Year	Total Award	Total this Invoice	Invoiced to Date	Remaining Amount	Percent Expended	Technical Percent Completed
Year 1						
Year 2						
Year 3						
Year 4						
Year 5						
<b>Total</b>						



# RESTORE ACT CENTER OF EXCELLENCE FOR LOUISIANA FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

*Due within 30 days of the close of the award*

**Project Title:**

<b>Principal Investigator:</b>	
<b>Principal Investigator Institution:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator Institution:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator Institution:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator Institution:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator Institution:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator Institution:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator Institution:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator:</b>	
<b>Co-Principal Investigator Institution:</b>	

## A. Technical Activities

### 1) Deliverables on proposed goals and objectives.

#	Proposed goal / objective / activity	Target output / deliverable	Completed (Y/N)	Comments (If No, please describe incomplete deliverable(s) or reason why actual output / deliverable deviated from the proposed)	Topical area (s) and research need(s) addressed (as described in the proposal)
1					
2					
3					
4					

#	Proposed goal / objective / activity	Target output / deliverable	Completed (Y/N)	Comments (If No, please describe incomplete deliverable(s) or reason why actual output / deliverable deviated from the proposed)	Topical area (s) and research need(s) addressed (as described in the proposal)
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					

**2) Summary of research project.** Similar to an abstract; include sentences that

describe the introduction, research questions/hypotheses, methods, results, discussion, and conclusion. 400 words max.

The focus was to map the geographic extent and history of displacement on Cenozoic fault systems that trend across the Holocene Mississippi River delta plain. The work was concentrated in Terrebonne-Timbalier Bay, Bayou Lafourche near Golden Meadow, and the Lake Pontchartrain/Lake Borgne. Each of three Louisiana universities involved in this project hold license agreements with energy companies, who have provided access to high-quality, industry-standard 3-D and 2-D seismic reflection data that image Cenozoic strata in the study areas. These data allow for an assessment of whether deep-seated faults are present and if these faults extend upward into overlying strata. These seismic datasets are essential for the success of any study attempting to document the potential impact of faults on geomorphology, deltaic geology, and the stability of Holocene sedimentary units of the north central Gulf coast. Louisiana Master Plan efforts strongly rely upon an understanding of vertical elevation changes and if modern fault motion is occurring Master Plan efforts could be jeopardized. The research unites industry seismic reflection data (3-D, 2-D) with additional methods of data analysis including high-resolution seismic imaging, construction of near-surface stratigraphic sections, geochronology, GPS surveys and quantification of sediment accumulation rates. Primary research questions included: 1) Do geologic structures influence modern Mississippi River delta plain evolution?, 2) Are fault slip rates variable across the delta plain because of proximity to major late Pleistocene and Holocene depocenters and interaction with underlying ductile salt bodies?, 3) Can Holocene motion be detected using shallow, high-resolution seismic imaging, radiocarbon stratigraphic horizons, GPS surveys, and sediment accretion rates. All three of the industry seismic datasets image an array of faults, with variable lengths and geometries. The depth to which the faults can be projected upward varies between the datasets because of the quality of the industry seismic data but maps of suspected shallow faults can guide decision making and an assessment of potential fault impacts to Holocene strata and geomorphology. Only locally does high resolution seismic data clearly image the subsurface of the study areas because of shallow water and gas-charged sediments. Radiocarbon dated horizons locally indicate offset of Holocene strata as do geomorphologic features at the surface. Continued efforts to fully document the exact location and overall extent of latest Quaternary fault offsets across all of the delta plain should include the acquisition of additional industry seismic data, shallow seismic data, sediment cores, GPS surveys and radiocarbon dated strata.

**3) Results and scientific/technical highlights.** In 5-10 bullets: list and describe key outcomes and findings; new methods, technology, and/or advanced tools developed (e.g., models, biomarkers).

- Upward projected fault trends locally correspond with areas of marsh loss, drowned cypress swamps, and open-water on the down-dropped sides of the faults.
- Quaternary fault slip is locally influenced by salt domes, suggesting that either deformation or dissolution of subsurface salt may also affect the present geomorphology.
- Quaternary slip rates associated with the Golden Meadow fault zone are an order of magnitude faster than those of the Baton Rouge fault zone.
- Cone penetrometer test data along with and borings can be used to map the stratigraphy in areas of potential fault motion
- Large faults in the northern Terrebonne Bay area intersect earth's surface
- In Terrebonne Bay fault activity was generally rapid during the Miocene and Quaternary, whereas Pliocene activity was relatively low
- Mathematical vector analysis of surface streams locally may be used to help constrain fault locations and slip
- Some faults show a distinct surface scarp in the modern landscape, whereas some do not. Faults that do have a scarp may not have a scarp at every survey location.
- If faults do have a modern scarp, the morphology is such that subsidence near the traces tends to be greater than farther away from the trace. No universal scarp morphology appears to exist.
- Preliminary: higher rates of accretion on downthrown side of at least one Terrebonne Bay fault

4) **Application of research results to the implementation of the Louisiana Coastal Master Plan by Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority.** Please add each targeted output/deliverable under each relevant column. Refer to section 1) *Deliverables on proposed goals and objectives* on page 2 to obtain your output/deliverables and to your notes/comments from the All Hands Meeting where this was discussed in the break out groups.

COASTAL PROTECTION AND RESTORATION AUTHORITY PROGRAM AND PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION						
Planning	Feasibility	Engineering and Design	Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring	Knowledge Base	Stakeholder Engagement	Communications

**COASTAL PROTECTION AND RESTORATION AUTHORITY PROGRAM AND PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION**

<b>Planning</b>	<b>Feasibility</b>	<b>Engineering and Design</b>	<b>Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring</b>	<b>Knowledge Base</b>	<b>Stakeholder Engagement</b>	<b>Communications</b>

5) Peer-reviewed publications. Please provide pdf copies.

Authors	List author names of graduate students/ Postdocs	Title	Journal	DOI (or other identifier)	Published; submitted; in prep; planned?	Date



Authors	List author names of graduate students/ Postdocs	Title	Journal	DOI (or other identifier)	Published; submitted; in prep; planned?	Date

6) Oral presentations and posters. Please provide pdf copies.

Presenter's Name	Co-author's Name	List author names of graduate students/ Postdocs	Title	Oral or poster?	Conference or meeting name	Location & date	Completed; submitted; planned?	Proceedings published (Y/N)

<b>Presenter's Name</b>	<b>Co-author's Name</b>	<b>List author names of graduate students/ Postdocs</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Oral or poster?</b>	<b>Conference or meeting name</b>	<b>Location &amp; date</b>	<b>Completed; submitted; planned?</b>	<b>Proceedings published (Y/N)</b>

7) **List other products or deliverables.** These can include white papers, patent applications, workshops, outreach activities/products. Describe and provide pdf copies, as applicable.

8) **Data.** Making data publicly assessible in a timely manner is a key goal of the data management policy of RESTORE Act Center of Excellence. All projects must ensure that data and ISO metadata are collected, archived, digitized, and made available using methods that allow current and future investigators to address new questions as they arise. Per the U.S. Department of the Treasury’s Office of Gulf Coast Restoration Data Accessibility and Management Best Practices<sup>1</sup> *“Data are generally expected to be made publicly available at the time of publication of a peer-reviewed article relying on the data or two years after the data are collected.”* All information products resulting from funded projects must be associated with detailed, machine-readable metadata (ISO format) and shared in a regional or national digital repository or data center (e.g., National Centers for Environmental Information, Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative Information & Data Cooperative, Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, DataOne Dash) for discovery and long-term preservation. Metadata, a brief description of the data, and location of the data (e.g., repository, DOI) must be provided to the LA-COE to enable tracking of all data and information products.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.fio.usf.edu/documents/flracep/program-documents/Treasury%20RESTORE%20COE%20data%20management%20best%20practices%20Jan%202018.pdf>

#	Description of data	Repository or data center	Date by when data will be made available (2 years after final report)	DOI (or similar) if data are already uploaded
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				





## C. CONTINUING RESEARCH

Please describe the next steps for this work, if applicable (5 bullet points max).

Continue the analyses of the sediment samples for accretion rates in the Terrebonne Area now that the Univ. of Kentucky laboratory is reopened.  
Complete analysis of the final core in the Terrebonne Bay area now that the Univ. of New Orleans laboratory is reopened.  
UNO MS student Joe Hankerson and Tulane Phd student Akinbobola Akintomide will both be completing their respective research projects on different areas of the Terrebonne fault systems during the next 6-10 months.  
Separate from this project UNO is also completing detailed grain size analysis on samples from cores that were acquired during this project to test a hypothesis that grain size variability may exist on opposing sides of faults mapped in Terrebonne Bay.  
Regroup with the project team to finalize publications and seek out additional funding sources to examine questions that arose during the course of this project.

## D. CERTIFICATION

Please submit report no later than 30 days following the close of the award to:

Danielle Johnson

Grants and Contracts Manager

[AP@thewaterinstitute.org](mailto:AP@thewaterinstitute.org)

- Certification:** I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purposes set forth in the award documents.

**Principal Investigator:**

**Signature:** Mark Kulp

Digitally signed by Mark Kulp  
Date: 2020.09.18 15:51:03 -05'00'

**Name:** Mark Kulp

**Date Signed:**  
9/18/20

- Approval:** I have evaluated the final report and associated invoice and confirm that the project is finished.

**LA-COE Technical Point of Contact:**

**Signature:** Brendan Yuill

Digitally signed by Brendan Yuill  
Date: 2020.10.15 14:37:31 -05'00'

**Name:**

**Date Signed:**  
9/18/20

- Approval:** I have reviewed the final report and approve for payment.

**LA-COE Director:**

**Signature:** Melissa M. Baustian

Digitally signed by Melissa M. Baustian  
Date: 2020.10.15 14:31:59 -05'00'

**Name:**

**Date Signed:**  
9/18/20